

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Δ	
<i>[</i>]	

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/647,547	01/03/2001	Stefan Grinneby	197593US2PCT 8008			
22850	22850 7590 10/11/2005			EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			DINH, MINH			
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDR	STREET IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			2132			
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2009	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/647,547	GRINNEBY, STEFAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Minh Dinh	2132	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Minh Dinh	2132					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com							
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must t							
Since a Notice of Appear has been filed, any reply must to AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set to	omm 111 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
AMENDMENTS 3. \(\sum \) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) \(\sum \) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5 . \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	•						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:		•					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-44							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
I2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
• -							
3. U Other:							
	GILBERTO	BARRON JA.					

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendments introduce new issues not previously considered. The new limitations added to the independent claims 1, 16 and 32 have not been searched befored and, therefore, would require further search.